

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/618,202 | GEBRESELASSIE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Arthur L. Corbin | 1761 | |

All Participants:

(1) Arthur L. Corbin.

(2) Allen Kipnes.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,13,16,18,23-40,23-40,42

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Examiner's Amendment for agreed upon claim changes. Also, applicant intends to submit a 312 amendment to claim the limitations of withdrawn claims 13 and 16. This 312 amendment will be entered.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)